UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/694,992	10/29/2003	Toshiaki Ouchi	065905-0300	5302
22428 EOLEV AND	7590 04/24/2007		EXAMINER	
FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			MORRISON, THOMAS A	
3000 K STRE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTON, DC 20007			3653	·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/24/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/694,992	OUCHI ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Thomas A. Morrison	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		 				
This application is abandoned in view of:						
 Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office letter mailed on 18 October 2006. (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply (including a total extension of time of month(s)) which expired on 						
(b) ⊠ A proposed reply was received on <u>23 January 2007</u> , but it does not constitute a proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 (a) to the final rejection.						
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection consists only of: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114).						
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) No reply has been received.						
 2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, within the statutory period of three months from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). (a) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the statutory period for payment of the issue fee (and publication fee) set in the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85). 						
Allowance (PTOL-85). (b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance of \$ is due.						
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$ The publication fee, if required by 37 CFR 1.18(d), is \$						
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has not been received.						
3. Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as required by, and within the three-month period set in, the Notice of Allowability (PTO-37).						
(a) Proposed corrected drawings were received on (with a Certificate of Mailing or Transmission dated), which is after the expiration of the period for reply.						
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
4. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the attorney or agent of record, the assignee of the entire interest, or all of the applicants.						
5. The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an attorney or agent (acting in a representative capacity under 37 CFR 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application.						
6. The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interference rendered on and because the period for seeking court review of the decision has expired and there are no allowed claims.						
7. The reason(s) below:						
PATTLOK MACKEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600						
Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term. U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						
	of Abandonment	Part of Paper No. 20070420				

Contacted applicant's representative (Mr. Pávan Agarwal (Reg. No. 40,888)) on 4/20/2007 to check the status of this case. During the telephone conversation Mr. Agarwal indicated that no additional response was filed in this case, other than applicant's 1/23/2007 after final amendment that was treated by an Advisory Action mailed on 2/13/2007.